

230858

From: Terry McAdams  
To: Fowler, Gene  
Date: 11/4/2005 11:11:55 AM  
Subject: Unimatic

Hi Gene,

First, I want to apologize for just now getting to the Unimatic review, but we had three rush priority jobs come in in the last six weeks that pushed my other cases back considerably.

I have a question about the 9/12/05 Unimatic letter as it relates to your 11/09/04 letter: On page one of your 11/09/04 letter, you indicate that the RP should delineate and remediate exterior soils at the site to the RDCSCC. Unimatic, in their 9/12/05 letter, has asked for a clarification of this, in that their understanding was that they would delineate to the RDCSCC but remediate to the IGWSCC of 50 ppm. unless they could establish that there were no PCB impacts to GW above the GWQS, in which case they could remediate to the alternate cleanup standard of 100 ppm.

The above also seems to conflict with page 4 of your 11/09/04 letter, where, in the third paragraph, it states that the RP shall delineate and remediate to the 100 ppm standard only if they can demonstrate no impacts to GW, in which case they would delineate and remediate to the 50 ppm standard. This language comes from my 04/09/04 memo which is the source of this confusion.

In my new memo, I propose to have them delineate all exterior soils PCB contamination to the RDCSCC on and off site. The remediation standard will be as discussed above: 100 ppm only if they can prove no GW impacts. Otherwise 50 ppm.

If this is not your understanding, kindly advise and we can discuss.

And do have a pleasant weekend.

terry